

## **East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm**

## Appendix 26.26

Inter-relationships

## **Environmental Statement Volume 3**

Applicant: East Anglia TWO Limited Document Reference: 6.3.26.26

SPR Reference: EA2-DWF-ENV-REP-IBR-000918\_026 Rev 01

Pursuant to APFP Regulation: 5(2)(a)

Author: Royal HaskoningDHV

Date: October 2019 Revision: Version 1

## East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm Environmental Statement



This page is intentionally blank

Link	Impact 1:		Impact 2:		Impact 3:		Impact 4:		Impact 5:		
	Pedestrian amenity		Severance		Highway Safety		Driver Delay (capacity)		Driver Delay (highway geometry)		
	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	netry) Residual	Proposed Mitigation
	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	1 Toposed Mitigation
1					Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Impacts 1 – 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the design of the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
2	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Major	Minor	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impacts 1, 2 & 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the design
3	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Major	Minor	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	of the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
											Impact 3: Road safety measures proposed for the junction of the A12 and A1094 to include:
											A speed limit reduction;
											Enhanced warning signs;
											Rumble strips; and     Supposion of ampleyee vehicle may amente during network peak
											<ul> <li>Suspension of employee vehicle movements during network peak hours.</li> </ul>
											Impact 4: Driver delay measures at the junction of the A12 and A1094 to
											include the suspension of all employee vehicle movements during the network peak hours.
4	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impact 1: Pedestrian amenity new footways and dropped crossings to be
											provided within Theberton.
											Impacts 2, 3, 4 & 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the
											design of the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
5					Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Impacts 1 – 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the design of
	No. de mate	N.4.	N4'	NA'	NA"	Missa	NA - de sete	Maria	NI P - P - I	NI P - T-I -	the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
6	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impact 1: Pedestrian amenity new footways and dropped crossings to be provided within Snape.
											Impacts 2, 3 & 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the design
											of the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
											Impact 4: Driver delay measures at the junction of the A1094 and B1069
											to include the suspension of all employee vehicle movements during the
7					Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	network peak hours.  Impacts 1 – 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the design of
′					IVIIIIOI	IVIIIIOI	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
8					Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Moderate	Minor	Impacts 1, 2, 3 & 4: No mitigation further to that embedded within the
											design of the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
											Impact 5: Driver delay mitigation at the junction of the A1094 and B1122
											to include the following. All vehicles to travel to a construction
											consolidation site where loads can be broken down and placed on smaller
											vehicles. Where loads cannot be consolidated to smaller vehicles HGVs are to be escorted by a pilot vehicle.
9	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Impacts 1, 2, 3 & 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the
			l regignere	- Trogrigues	l regignere					l ragigues	design of the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
											Impact 4: Driver delay measures at the junction of the A1094 and B1069
											to include the suspension of all employee vehicle movements during the network peak hours.
10					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Moderate	Minor	Impacts 1, 2, 3 & 4: No mitigation further to that embedded within the
											design of the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
											Impact 5: Driver delay mitigation at the junction of the A1094 and B1122
											to include the following. All vehicles to travel to a construction
								1			consolidation site where loads can be broken down and placed on smaller

Link	Impact 1: Pedestrian amenity		Impact 2: Severance		Impact 3: Highway Safety		Impact 4: Driver Delay (capacity)		Impact 5: Driver Delay (highway geometry)		
	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Assessed	Residual	Proposed Mitigation
	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	Impacts	
											vehicles. Where loads cannot be consolidated to smaller vehicles HGVs are to be escorted by a pilot vehicle.
11	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Impact 1 – 5: No mitigation further to that embedded within the design of
12	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	the proposed East Anglia TWO project is considered necessary.
13					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	
14					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	
15					Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	
<sup>1</sup> Key					_				_		
	Links screened out in accordance with GEART Rule 1 and 2										
	Links requiring further mitigation										